

CTDEP Lean Journey: Lean Project Team Summary Status Report

Submittal Date: January 14, 2011

Lean Event Dates: November 15-19 2010

Project: Improving DEP's Potable Water Program Processes

Bureau: Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse (WPLR)/Remediation Division (RD)

Team: Total number of team members = 8 (8 staff within Division / 0 staff outside Division)

Team Sponsor(s)/Title(s): Patrick Bowe, Director, Remediation Division

Team Leader(s)/Title(s): Drew Kukucka, EA-2, RD

Team Members /Titles: Bill Warzecha, Supervising EA, RD; Kevin Neary, EA-2, RD; Scott Wing, EA-3, RD; MaryAnne Danyluk, EA-2, RD; Mike Senyk, EA-2, RD;

Carl Gruszczak, EA-2, RD; Lynn Olson-Teodoro, EA-2, RD-USTCUA

Team Champion(s)/Title(s): Robert Bell, Assistant Director, RD

Opportunity Statement: When DEP determines that a private drinking water well is polluted above a state drinking water "action level", DEP has authority to provide a short-term supply of drinking water (bottled water and/or filter) to all affected well owners, while the source of pollution is being investigated. Such determination usually triggers various DEP staff to arrange for (1) bottled water delivery and/or installation of a water treatment system; (2) conduct well water sampling of the affected well; (3) conduct sampling of neighboring wells to determine drinking water quality; and (4) monitor and maintain the DEP-installed water treatment system to ensure it is working satisfactorily. All of these activities are arranged and scheduled by various remediation staff. There is great opportunity to evaluate these processes to reduce waste, ensure that the DEP-installed filter systems are sampled on an appropriate schedule, make information/data more sustainable, real-time and transparent, improve the quality of DEP decision-making, make coordination more efficient between well water sampling staff and project leads, and reduce the time to provide information and treatment to homeowners.

Objective: Thoroughly evaluate:

- 1. Before Filter is Installed: (a) The steps taken, starting from the moment DEP is made aware of a potential exceedance of an Action Level in a well, to obtain written approval for the provision of bottled water and installation of a water treatment systems; (b) Arranging and scheduling the installation of a water treatment system.
- 2. After Filter Is Installed: (a) Scheduling and performing well water sampling and filter maintenance at affected and potentially affected properties; (b)Opportunities for improving how the Division "sees" its business.

Goals/Key Performance Indicators:					
Pre-Kaizen Event Goals – Date: November 2010	Post-Kaizen Event Results/Key Performance Indicators – Status Date: January 2011				
Reduce time from receipt of initial notice of a potentially polluted well to obtaining approval to provide bw/treatment, from a current average 8-10 weeks to a goal of 4 weeks or less. Streamline the process of going from the final approval to provide bottled water and water treatment system, to actual delivery of water and installation of treatment, from a current average timeframe of up to 10 days (bottle water) and 5 weeks (GAC filter) and /or 6-8 staff hours, to not more than 7 days (bottled water) and 10 days (GAC filter) and 3 hours of staff time.	Improvements to Potable Water Program processes are underway including initial drafting of several procedures and protocols to standardize and streamline how potable water projects are managed. (1) Streamline the approval process; several approval steps are being eliminated and the process flow is being standardized (75% complete). (2) Create new bottled water request forms and standardize submittal of form to email only (90% complete). (3) Streamline filter installation process/duration (75% complete).				
Establish sustainable and transparent contaminated well list and well water sampling/water treatment maintenance scheduling procedures to reduce scheduling of monitoring from an average of 3 or more staff hours to 1 or less staff hour and to provide the ability to quickly and easily see whether well water sampling is recurring as needed.	Contaminated well list initial data entry is 95% complete. Prior to kaizen no comprehensive database existed. Ahead of schedule on 6 month goal to associate all wells to a potable water project (100% complete).				
All maintenance/monitoring is set on a recurring schedule as site conditions warrant (monthly, quarterly, annually, etc). Filter maintenance to occur within the month previously scheduled. Water sampling staff to sample, on average, 8-10 sites per day for the purpose of sampling polluted and potentially polluted drinking water wells.	Process improvements underway which includes making sampling equipment more readily available and designing a new database tracking system. The database will be used going forward to schedule routine sampling and for checks and balances to make sure the work is being completed accurately and on time. This will also allow for us to quantify the volume of work being completed on average per day, week, month, etc.(6-12 month goal is ahead of schedule)				
DPH laboratory forwards lab results for well water samples to staff within 24 hrs of DPH completing analysis. Residents are informed of results in timely fashion. Reduce timeframe from up to 1 month or more to within 3 days from receipt of lab results. Remediation staff makes service calls to water treatment contractors within one day of receiving the results from the lab that indicate a treament system is needed or system requires maintenance, or notified that maintenance of a water treatment system is needed. The contractor will complete the maintenance within 5 days of DEP authorization to proceed.	Working with Lab to reduce timeframe for lab to send results by eliminating the waiting time for additional review and signoff. DPH is currently changing data entry module. Within 2 months new forms will be made available for DEP to customize. (1) Still need to look into turnaround time from Lab. (2) Streamlined approval process and filter contractor procurement procedure designed to reduce timeframe associated with these tasks (75% complete).				
Information/data related to above tasks are entered into case management system within two days of receiving the information/data, including adding new sites to the contaminated well list.	New Initial data entry form has been created needs to be reviewed and incorporated into CSM by IT department (75% complete). Additional phases of database improvements scheduled for 6-month and 12-month goals. Database improvements will lead to greater visibility of key performance indicators.				

Value Stream Mapping: The activities and steps, both value and non-value added, as shown in the Pre-Kaizen state versus Post-Kaizen desired stote.			The Post-Kaizen desired state has resulted in a number of improved program efficiencies and include the following:		
Type of Process	Pre-Kaizen – # of Processes	Post-Kaizen – # of Processes	 Reduce redundancy and "reinventing the wheel" streamline decision making processes for technical staff by 		
Valued Added	13	11	creating standardized procedures/policies		
No Value Added but Necessary	53	36	 Eliminate uneccessary approval loops 		
No Value Added	71	0			
Waiting	43-88 days	6-32 days	Create homeowner forms and information to help		
Transport	13 hours	3-10.5 hours	communication		
Total	137	47			

Highlights and Implementation of the Project Plan (2, 6 and 12 month deadlines):

Two Month Goals - Procedures/policies underway to standardize processes: confirmatory sampling, sampling frequency and analytes, bottled water, filter procurement, 5-S project Phase 1 nearly complete. All procedures/policies in draft form, half are ready for the review process.

Six Month Goals - Develop incoming phone call interview form, clarify policy decisions under 22a-471, develop DEP specific electronic lab form, site recon form, develop staff toolbox on S Drive, 5-S Phase 2 project, CMS - redesign PW module

Twelve Month Goals - Eliminate backlog of well sampling, auto-generate results letters, CMS - refine PW module, train staff on new processes and database improvements.

Additional Comments/Observations/WOWS/Innovations from the Team:

What went well - team worked well together, communication, guest participation crutial, introduced Lean concepts to DPH lab staff

"WOW" moments - DPH lab visit identified immediate areas for improvement on DEP lab requests to minimize waiting time for lab results, need to streamline approval process, digital forms, letters, schedules needed to improve efficiency

Areas for improvement - could benefit from pre-Kaizen preparation, recommend at least one person on team with previous Lean experience

Revision Date: 1/14/11